

Appendix A - Schedule of Complaints - for year 2018

Note this schedule covers complaints received prior to but concluded in 2018 and received and concluded in 2018

	<i>Date complaint received</i>	<i>Summary & outcome of alleged complaint</i>	<i>Complaint by public or councillor</i>
1.	09.11.2017	<p>The subject member failed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Treat others with respect;• Conducted himself in a manner which brought the Authority into disrepute;• Attempted to use his position improperly. <p>The Initial Filtering Panel ("IFP") decided there was no breach of the Code as the complaint concerned a notice of motion which progressed via correct procedure.</p> <p>The complainant requested review.</p> <p>The Assessment Sub Committee found no breach of the Code. It was noted that the complaint did not fall within the jurisdiction of the Sub Committee but concerned dissatisfaction with a Council decision.</p>	Public
2.	28.11.2017	<p>The subject member:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sought to intimidate the complainant;• Compromised the impartiality of the Authority's officers;• Sought to prevent a person gaining access to information to which they were entitled;• Conducted himself in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing his office	Public

		<p>or the Authority into disrepute;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted to use his position as a member improperly to confer advantage; • Improperly sought to use resources for political purposes; • Disregarded relevant advice from the Authority's officers. <p>The IFP decided that there was no breach of the Code as the complaint did not fall within the jurisdiction of the Local Assessment Criteria.</p> <p>The complainant requested a review.</p> <p>The Assessment Sub Committee found no breach of the Code as there was no evidence that the behaviour of the subject member could be assessed as having breached the Code.</p>	
3.	21 May 2018	<p>The subject member:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • failed to treat others with respect; • bullied a person; • compromised the impartiality of the Authority's officers; • conducted himself in a manner which could be regarded as bringing his office or the Authority into disrepute. <p>The IFP decided that there had been a breach of the Code as an inappropriate comment had been made which fell below the usual high standard of conduct of the said Member.</p> <p>The agreed action was for the Deputy Monitoring Officer ("DMO") to write to the subject member and</p>	Public

		invite him to send a written apology to the complainants having reflected on his conduct.	
4.	1 October 2018	<p>The subject member:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failed to treat others with respect; • Bullied a person; • Conducted herself in a manner which could be regarded as bringing her office or the Authority into disrepute; • Did not have regard for relevant advice from the Authority's officers or give reasons for decisions. <p>The IFP decided that there had been a breach as there was sufficient evidence that the subject member had conducted herself in a manner which could be regarded as bringing her office and the Authority into disrepute.</p> <p>The agreed action was for the DMO to have a discussion with the subject member regarding how Members can present themselves in the public arena to avoid the impression that they are acting as a councillor (unless that is their intention).</p>	Public